



Worktext in Precalculus: Evaluation and Acceptability

Ida E. Esquierdo^{1,2*} and Ronato S. Ballado²

¹*Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Eastern Philippines.*
²*Graduate Studies, University of Eastern Philippines.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJESS/2021/v16i230395

Editor(s):

(1) Dr. Nasser Mustapha, University of the West Indies, Trinidad and Tobago.

Reviewers:

(1) Lidon Moliner Miravet, Universitat Jaume I, Spain.

(2) María Andrade Aréchiga, Universidad de Colima, Mexico.

Complete Peer review History: <http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/66418>

Original Research Article

Received 12 January 2021

Accepted 17 March 2021

Published 27 March 2021

ABSTRACT

Worktext plays a vital role in any classroom setting particularly in mathematics. Despite the development of the new technologies that allow high-quality instructional materials, demand for textbooks or worktexts continues to grow. The era of modern technology makes students to be more inquisitive and the acquisition of new learning is high. With the implementation of the K to 12 curriculum, teachers are challenge to develop relevant and research-based instructional materials. At present, few instructional materials could be utilized for senior high school students particularly in PreCalculus which is based on the learning competencies of the subject. This study developed a worktext in PreCalculus based on the curriculum guide provided by the Department of Education. After which, the worktext was evaluated by the Calculus experts in selected State Universities in Region 8 and selected PreCalculus teachers in public schools in Northern Samar on the level of validity and acceptability. Results showed that the content validity of the worktext as assessed by the respondents based on aims, objectives, knowledge, contextualization, summing up, practice, reinforcement, and enrichment are very much valid. The level of acceptability of the worktext based on clarity, usefulness, language and style, illustration, presentation, suitability, adequacy, and timeliness are very highly acceptable. The t -test for independent samples was also utilized to determine the significant difference in the level of validity and acceptability of the worktext and

*Corresponding author: Email: esquierdoida@gmail.com;

results showed that both Calculus experts and PreCalculus teachers strongly agreed that the worktext is valid and highly acceptable. Revisions of the worktext were made based on the suggested comments of the respondents.

Keywords: Development; validation; instructional materials; PreCalculus.

1. INTRODUCTION

The use of worktext in teaching mathematics helps the learners develop self-contained and independent unit of instruction. In this sense, students can work at their own pace and time. On the other hand, the emphasis of instruction on the part of a teacher is to develop the necessary instructional materials to help the students develop their cognitive learning skills. As mentioned by [1] the most prevalent factors that facilitate or heighten classroom interaction is the material availability and an adequacy of educational materials, which would be effective, suitable and adaptable to the nature or the kind of students the teacher handles without prejudice.

In learning specific skills or knowledge acquisition, the teacher can help the students with individualized instruction through the use of a worktext. Worktext or modular learning is becoming popular because of the concrete application of principle of individual differences in which the students can proceed at a pace suited to their abilities. An educational authority stated that the development of textbooks and other instructional materials is necessary in order to achieve the objectives of education. Instructional materials have been effective instruments for answering quality education [2].

Mathematics has been viewed to be a difficult subject for some. It is in this subject wherein majority of the students could hardly understand the basic concepts and real word problems which result to poor performance. The poor performance of students in mathematics has been observed by the researcher for several years now. The performance of the Grade 11 STEM strand in Pre-calculus, particularly in the University of Eastern Philippines during the two succeeding semesters, school year 2016 to 2017 and 2017 to 2018, the performances of these students were: 62.16% was below 85, and only 37.84% was above 85. This result is very low considering the fact that these students would take courses such as engineering, sciences or medical courses and mathematics after they have finished senior high school. Given these

poor results, it is indeed imperative that something has to be done to solve this problem in mathematics.

The low performance of these students could be attributed to the lack of instructional resources in the classroom such as worktext. In relation to this, the study of Auditor [3] on the development and validation of a tenth grade physics module stated that the use of a worktext is effective in knowledge acquisition and is a useful tool for teaching and learning basic physics. Reyes and De Guia [4] mentioned that many students who are using worktext or textbooks feel secure and have a sense of progress in learning the lessons.

The lack of instructional materials in Pre-calculus such as worktext is one of the common problems to most Pre-calculus teachers because a majority of the Professors/Instructors in the University utilize only the teaching guide provided by the Department of Education (DepEd). The content of the teaching guide is limited, teachers resort to look for other reference books to cater to the needs of the learners. A majority of the teachers utilize only the books with topics stated on the curriculum guide of the subject.

It is at this point that this study had been conceptualized to address the needs of the Pre-calculus teachers and the senior high school students as well. Hence, this study.

2. METHODOLOGY

This study was conducted in the different public schools in Northern Samar offering senior high school with STEM strand. These schools are Catarman National High School, Pambujan National High School, UEP Main, UEP Lao-ang and Catubig Campuses. These identified schools are the only public schools offering senior high school with this strand because senior high school was just implemented last school year 2016 to 2017. These schools had been chosen due to its high regard of quality of education. The researcher identified only twenty two (22) respondents of this study because of the limited number of schools offering senior high school with STEM strand in Northern Samar. Eight (8) of which are PreCalculus teachers and fourteen

(14) Calculus experts. The Calculus experts were from UEP main campus, NorthWest Samar State University and Samar State University.

The researcher utilized a descriptive evaluative type of research. The study is descriptive in its sense for questions involving the assessment of the Pre-calculus teacher respondents and Calculus experts on the level of the content validity and acceptability of a worktext was also descriptive in nature.

The evaluative part in this study was to determine the validity of the worktext in terms of Aims, Knowledge, Contextualization, Summing Up, Practice, Reinforcement, and Enrichment and the acceptability level in terms of clarity, usefulness, language and style, illustrations, presentations, and suitability.

The research questionnaire of this study was patterned from Espinar (2014) which was composed of two parts. Part I composed the validity of the developed worktext in Pre-calculus in terms of aims, knowledge, contextualization, summing up, practice, reinforcement, and enrichment. Part II is composed of the level of acceptability in terms of clarity, usefulness, language and style, illustration, presentation, suitability, adequacy, and timeliness on the level of acceptability.

However, before the instrument was given to the respondents, the researcher administered a written request to the heads of the identified schools to ask permission for the conduct of the study.

On the other hand, scoring and interpretation of the data that were taken from the respondents in part I and II were interpreted in terms of 5-point Likert Scale.

The level of validity of the developed worktext in terms of Aims, Knowledge, Contextualization, Summing Up, Practice, Reinforcement, and Enrichment was also interpreted on the basis of the following:

To determine the level of acceptability of the worktext each statement was interpreted on the basis of the following:

To determine that there was a significant difference between the evaluation of the Pre-calculus teachers and the Calculus experts on the developed worktext in Pre-calculus, the t test for independent samples was used in this study.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 On Development of the Worktext

The worktext was developed and utilized the curriculum guide provided by the Department of Education (DepEd) as the basis for developing the worktext. The content of the curriculum guide consists of the topics Analytic Geometry, Series and Mathematical Induction and Trigonometry.

Specifically, Analytic Geometry consists of the topics conic sections such as circles, parabola, hyperbola, and ellipse and the systems of

Chart 1. level of Validity of the worktext

Rating	Mean	Range	Verbal Interpretation
5	-	4.20 – 5.00	Very Much Valid
4	-	3.40 – 4.19	Much Valid
3	-	2.60 – 3.39	Valid
2	-	1.80 – 2.59	Less Valid
1	-	1.00 – 1.79	Least Valid

Chart 2. level of acceptability of the worktext

Rating	Mean	Range	Verbal Interpretation
5	-	4.20 – 5.00	Very Highly Acceptable
4	-	3.40 – 4.19	Highly Acceptable
3	-	2.60 – 3.39	Acceptable
2	-	1.80 – 2.59	Less Acceptable
1	-	1.00 – 1.79	Not Acceptable

nonlinear equations. On the other hand, series and mathematical induction consist the topics on series, mathematical induction, and the binomial theorem. Topics on trigonometry include circular functions, trigonometric identities, inverse trigonometric functions, and the polar coordinates.

This study conforms to the idea of Bowman [5] that instructional materials provide ideas and practices which frame classroom activity via text and diagrammatic representations and help teachers in achieving goals that they presumably could not accomplish on their own. The present study is in accordance to the idea of Fan [6] that mathematics as an academic discipline requires textbooks that must provide solid foundation for the students to understand, apply, and study in their daily life, further learning in the workplace. In terms of content, the textbooks must correctly present mathematics knowledge, including mathematical concepts, facts, and methods, etc.

3.2 Evaluation of the Content Validity of the Developed Worktext

The worktext was evaluated comprehensively by the participants using a questionnaire on content validity in terms of Aims, Knowledge, Conceptualization, Summing Up, Practice, Reinforcement, and Enrichment. The level of acceptability in terms of clarity, usefulness, language, style and format, illustration, presentation, suitability, adequacy, and timeliness was also evaluated.

Table 1 presents the content validity of the worktext in terms of Aims, Knowledge, Contextualization, Summing Up, Practice, Reinforcement and Enrichment. Results revealed that the Calculus experts and Pre-calculus teachers have of grand mean of 4.45 and 4.40, respectively with an overall mean of 4.23. This indicates that the whole worktext is "very much valid". This result also shows that a majority of the respondents strongly agreed that the different parts of the worktext are useful, appropriate, and relevant to the different topics presented in the worktext. This further indicates that the evaluators strongly agreed that the worktext is appropriate and relevant to the teaching and learning process in Pre-calculus.

As far as the parts of the worktext are concerned, the Aims revealed the highest mean of 4.630, which is an indication of the strongest point of the worktext as confirmed by the study of

Espinar (2014) and Villaflores [7]. The Summing Up and Reinforcement part of the worktext have the same mean of 4.480, respectively. As reflected in Table 1, these parts of the worktext are of second highest strongest point but as suggested by the majority of the respondents, there is still a need to add other key important points on the Summing Up part and an additional real life problem solving on the Reinforcement part to fully develop the mathematical knowledge and problem solving skills of the students.

On the other hand, the Contextualization and Practice exercises have a slight difference in terms of its mean with 4.369 and 4.368, respectively. This only indicates that the majority of the respondents strongly agreed that these parts of the worktext are very much valid. However, as suggested by the majority of the respondents, there is still a need to augment these parts of the worktext such as additional real life problem solving and practice exercises.

The weakest part of the worktext is the Enrichment activities with an average evaluation of 4.227. Although, it was rated as "very much valid", a majority of the Pre-calculus teachers evaluated it as "much valid", which means that this part of the worktext should be taken with consideration because developing high level mathematical problem solving and thinking skills of each topic is at stake when dealing with teaching and learning process in Pre-calculus.

Research literature suggests that the quality of learning material is enhanced if the material is designed to take into account learners' individual learning styles [8]. The study also suggests that it is not necessary to modify teaching styles, but there is a need to design activities to increase educational outcomes and student satisfaction. Likewise, the use of instructional materials in teaching improves the performance of the students and enables teachers to clarify their lessons.

Furthermore, the development of the instructional materials must be based in the interests, knowledge, understanding, abilities, needs and experiences of students. In providing quality education, one should not underscore the role of instructional materials ineffective instruction. Aside from the basic textbook, the use of instructional materials is essential for meaningful and effective teaching as stated by Ravitch [9].

Table 1. Evaluation on the content validity of the developed worktext

Parts of the Worktext	Section Mean				Average	Interpretation
	Calculus Experts	SD Experts	Pre-calculus Teachers	SD P. Teachers		
Aims	4.53	0.080	4.73	0.190	4.630	Very Much Valid
Knowledge	4.39		4.43		4.412	Very Much Valid
Contextualization	4.37		4.36		4.369	Very Much Valid
Summing Up	4.52		4.44		4.480	Very Much Valid
Practice	4.47		4.26		4.368	Very Much Valid
Reinforcement	4.53		4.43		4.480	Very Much Valid
Enrichment	4.35		4.11		4.227	Very Much Valid
GRAND MEAN	4.45		4.40		4.423	Very Much Valid

Table 2. Evaluation on the level of acceptability of the worktext

Level of Acceptability	Section Mean				Average	Interpretation
	Calculus Experts	SD Experts	Pre-calculus Teachers	SD P. Teachers		
Clarity	4.39	0.086	4.51	0.126	4.448	Very Highly Acceptable
Usefulness	4.43		4.26		4.345	Very Highly Acceptable
Language, Style and Format	4.34		4.49		4.417	Very Highly Acceptable
Illustration	4.26		4.36		4.309	Very Highly Acceptable
Presentation	4.39		4.45		4.419	Very Highly Acceptable
Suitability	4.27		4.24		4.252	Very Highly Acceptable
Adequacy	4.35		4.29		4.318	Very Highly Acceptable
Timeliness	4.52		4.57		4.543	Very Highly Acceptable
GRAND MEAN	4.37		4.40		4.381	Very Highly Acceptable

Table 3. Summary results on the significant difference between the evaluation of calculus experts and pre-calculus teachers on the content validity of the worktext

Groups	Mean	N	Variance	Std. Error Mean	df	t-stat	t-Critical (2-tailed)	Inter-pretation
Calculus Experts	4.38	14	0.0610	.0660	20	.2260	2.086	NOT SIGNIFICANT
Pre-Calculus Teachers	4.01	8	1.1557	.3801				

Table 4. Summary results on the significant difference between the evaluation of calculus experts and pre-calculus teachers on the level of acceptability of the worktext

Groups	Mean	N	Variance	Std. Error Mean	df	t-stat	t-Critical (2-tailed)	Inter-pretation
Calculus Experts	4.291	14	0.1262	.0949	20	.4071	2.086	NOT SIGNIFICANT
Pre-Calculus Teachers	4.019	8	1.2727	.3989				

3.3 Evaluation of the Level of Acceptability of the Developed Worktext

Table 2 shows the level of acceptability of the worktext in terms of clarity, usefulness, language, style and format, illustration, presentation, suitability, adequacy, and timeliness as evaluated by the Calculus experts and PreCalculus teachers. As shown in Table 2 the grand mean values are 4.37 and 4.40, respectively with an overall mean of 4.381. This means that majority of the respondents found the worktext very highly acceptable. These finding conforms to the study of Espinar (2014) on content validity and acceptability of a worktext in Basic Mathematics and Villaflores [7] on validity and acceptability of a Text/Workbook in Forensic Chemistry which are both valid and acceptable as assessed by the respondents.

3.4 Test of Difference

Table 3 presents the summary results of the difference between the evaluation of the Calculus experts and Pre-calculus teachers with respect to the content validity of the developed worktext in Pre-calculus. The mean of the Calculus experts was 4.38 while the mean of the Pre-calculus teachers was 4.01. The t – computed value of .2260 is less than t – tabular value of 2.086 with 20 degrees of freedom at 95% confidence interval, the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference between the two groups of evaluators is therefore accepted. It could further be inferred that both the Calculus experts and Pre-Calculus teachers strongly agreed that the worktext is very much valid and acceptable.

Table 4 presents the summary results on the significant difference of the level of acceptability between the respondents. The mean of the Calculus experts is 4.291 while the mean of the Pre-calculus teachers was 4.019. The t – computed value of .4071 is less than t – tabular value of 2.086 with 20 degrees of freedom at 95% confidence interval, the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference between the two groups of evaluators is therefore accepted. It can be inferred that the two groups of evaluators have the same evaluation of the developed worktext in Pre-calculus. It can also be implied that the Calculus experts and Pre-calculus teachers find the developed worktext highly acceptable. Thus, findings on the test of difference conform to the idea of Bowman [5] that

instructional materials provide ideas and practices which frame classroom activity via text and diagrammatic representations and help teachers in achieving goals that they presumably could not accomplish on their own. Fan [6] believed that mathematics as an academic discipline requires textbooks that must provide solid foundation for the students to understand, apply, and study in their daily life, further learning and learn in the workplace.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the findings of this study, the following conclusions and implications were drawn. The content validity of the worktext in Pre-calculus in terms of Aims, Knowledge, Contextualization, Summing Up, Practice, Reinforcement, and Enrichment possesses validity. And the level of acceptability of the worktext in terms of clarity, usefulness, language, style and format, illustration, presentation, suitability, adequacy, and timeliness is highly acceptable.

The worktext Aims is valid and clearly stated, measurable, attainable, result oriented, time-bounded, and relevant to the topics covered in Pre-calculus.

The Knowledge part of the worktext possesses also validity. This concludes that the worktext gives insights and ideas of what the activity is all about, is geared towards the development of the desired skills, provides background of concepts and information about the topic, attracts student's attention, and arouses students' interest.

Similarly, the Contextualization part of the worktext has content validity. The activities of the worktext are relevant, interesting, and appropriate to the lessons presented and in consonance with the curriculum guide.

The Summing Up part of the worktext has also content validity. It gives a clear picture of the lesson, highlights the key points of the lesson, and relevant to the topic.

The Practice and Reinforcement part of the worktext possess content validity. This concludes that the exercises are relevant to the objectives, adequate and appropriate to develop the student's mathematical knowledge, skills and abilities.

On the other hand, the Enrichment section of the worktext has content validity also. This concludes

that this part of the worktext stimulates students' higher order thinking skills, well- constructed, adapted to their level of comprehension, enhance mathematical understanding and facilitates developing high level mathematical problem solving and thinking skills.

The level of acceptability of the worktext in terms of clarity, usefulness, language, style and format, illustration, presentation, adequacy and timeliness is highly acceptable.

It further concludes that the worktext's language use is clear and easy to understand, and the information presented suit the students' level of comprehension. Furthermore, the worktext has learning contents that provide adequate information on the topic presented. The illustration presented arouses student's interest, making learning effective and enjoyable. It also provides concrete visual clues and is relevant to the topics presented.

This study further concludes that Calculus experts and Pre-calculus teachers had unanimously agreed as to the content validity and acceptability of the worktext in Pre-calculus.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, respondents' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Cruz ED. Development and Validation of Work text in Drawing 2. University of Rizal System, Morong Rizal; 2019.
2. Naval DJ, Auditor E. Development and Validation of Tenth Grade Physics Modules Based on Selected Least Mastered Competencies. International Journal of Education and Research. 2014;2:12.
3. Auditor E, Naval DJ. Development and Validation of Tenth Grade Physics Modules Based on Selected Least Mastered Competencies. International Journal of Education and Research, Volume. 2014;2:12.
4. Reyes YG, De Guia RG. Development of English Work text in English 101. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR). 2017;6(10).
5. Bowman DP. Presentations. Madisson Wilconsin, USA: F+W Publications, Inc; 2011.
6. Fan L. Principles and Processes for Publishing Textbooks and Alignment with Standards: A Case in Singapore. Nanyang Technological University; 2013.
7. Villaflores RL. Validity and Acceptability of a Developed Text/Workbook in Forensic Chemistry: Inputs to an Improved Instructional Materials. Unpublished Dissertation, University of Eastern Philippines, University Town, Northern Samar; 2012.
8. Rogayan DV, Dollete LF. Development and Validation of Physical Science Workbook for Senior High School. Science Education International. 2019; 30(4):284-290.
9. Ravitch D. Beyond the textbook, strategies for effective teaching. New York: Harper Collins Publisher Inc; 2006.

© 2021 Esquierdo and Ballado; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.sdiarticle4.com/review-history/66418>